PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	Const.					
То:	(1)	PCT				
ITOH Tadahiko	004					
8,112	Lit /	NETEN ORD TON OR THE				
32nd Floor,		UTTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
Yebisu Garden Place Tower,						
20-3, Ebisu 4-chome,		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 1506032						
Japan	Date of mailing	400000				
	(day/month/year)	10. 8. 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A					
R04052PCT		See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing date PCT/JP2004/005376		Priority date (day/month/year)				
13.04		17.04.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific						
1012 3/01, NO28 13/00, NO4Q	9/00, H04L7	//UU, H04L25/49				
Applicant						
RICOH COMPANY, LTD.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority						
The state of the s						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.16 citations and explanations supporting s	a)(i) with regard to nove such statement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app	lication					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation						
	ar apprication					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is ma	de, this opinion will b	c considered to be a written opinion of the				
other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has no	of that this does not apporting	ly where the applicant changes on Authority				
other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fort PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	y dute, willelievel e	Apriles idici.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer	5K 9647				
Japan Patent Office	HIROSHI TA	, ,				
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chivoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan						

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005376

ьо	k No. 1 Basis of the opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language is which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing b. format of material in written format in computer readable form	
3.	c. time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005376

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
I. In resp	conse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
V	paid additional fees
	paid additional fees under protest
	not paid additional fees
3. This Author com let a signal pluralist transmit sending sending communissending in that connect through sending circuit And the with retrievely subject the subject transmit transmi	authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to ditional fees. rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is plied with complied with for the following reasons: pecial technical features of claim 1-12 relates to [a transmitting apparatus for sending and receiving a lity of digital input signals input to said signal titing apparatus through a single signal line, said signal titing apparatus]. pecial technical features of claim 13-17 relates to [the supplying system for supplying a power from a plurality of yer supplying devices to a plurality of lads, said power and system]. Pecial technical features of claim 18-29 relates to [a communication apparatus for conducting a serial cation by a half-duplex communication between a first preceiving circuit and a second sending/receiving circuit at least one first sending/receiving circuit is at transmission channel, wherein each of said first preceiving circuit and said second sending/receiving: 1. a transmission channel, wherein each of said first preceiving circuit and said second sending/receiving: 2. subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to be novel spect to D1 = [JP 6-98380 A] and D2 = [JP 2002-101044 A]. Pecial technical features of claim 5 relates to the matter of claim 6. Pecial technical features of claim 8-10 relates to the matter of claim 6.
I. Consequen	tly, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all pa	arts.
the p	arts relating to claims Nos

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/ 005376

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2-7,9-12,15-17,20-29	YES
	Claims	1,8,13,14,18,19	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>5,7,9,10,12,17,20-29</u>	YES
	Claims	1-4,6,8,11,13-16,18,19	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-29	YES
	Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

Box No. V

Document 1:JP 53-12215 A(NEC Co., Ltd.) 1978.02.03, Fig6

Document 2:JP 8-79075 A(BURR-BROWN CORPORATION) 1996.03.22, Fig2

Document 3:JP 2-185132 A(NEC Co., Ltd.) 1990.07.19, Fig2

Document 4:JP 2002-101044 A(Fuji Xerox Co., Ltd.) 2002.04.05, line 20, column 7, page 5 to line 17, column 8, page 5

Document 5:JP 4-91637 A(Mitsubishi Electric Co., Ltd.) 1992.03.25, Fig1-3

Document 6:JP 4-322140 A(TOSHIBA Co., Ltd.) 1992.11.12, Fig4

Document 7:JP 3-221696 A(Matsushita Electric Works, Ltd.) 1991.09.30, Fig1,2

Document 8:JP 5-122759 A(FUJITSU Co., Ltd.) 1993.05.18, Fig3

Document 9:JP 57-44209 A(SHARP Co., Ltd.) 1982.03.12, Fig2

Document 10:JP 61-235246 A(Robert Bosch GmbH) 1986.10.20, Figure

Document 11:JP 1-309448 A(Oki Electric Industry Co., Ltd.) 1989.12.13, Fig1

Claim1-12

The subject matter of claim 1,8 does not appear to be novel with respect to D1. Such subject matter appears to be known from D1,D4.

The subject matter of claim 2 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of D1 and D2.

Fig2 in D2 discloses the subject matter [input resistances, of which number is a same number as the digital signals, and an inversion amplifying circuit formed by an operational amplifier, wherein a resistance value of each of the input resistances connecting to the inverting input terminals of the operational amplifier is set to correspond to a weight of each width of the digital input signals].

The technical feature [sending a plurality of digital input signals] in D1 and in D2 are concerned with mutually related technical fields. Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the feature in D2 to substitute the feature disclosed in D1.

The subject matter of claim 3,4 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of D1 and D2.

D1 discloses a product consisting of [the output voltage is obtained as an even voltage difference with respect to each combination of the digital input signals] which is regarded to fall within the definition in the present Claim 3.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005376

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: $V_{\perp} 2$

The technical feature [a multiple of two] is not disclosed in D1. However, said feature is virtually suggested by the same document considering that [the output voltage is obtained as an even voltage difference with respect to each combination of the digital input signals].

The subject matter of claim 6,11 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of D1 and D3.

Fig2 in D3 discloses the same subject matter of claim6.

The technical feature [receiving a plurality of digital signals] in D1 and in D3 are concerned with mutually related technical fields. Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the feature in D3 to substitute the feature disclosed in D1.

The subject matter of claim 5,7,9,10,12 is neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Claim13-17

The subject matter of claim 13, 14 does not appear to be novel with respect to D5. Such subject matter appears to be known from D5.

The subject matter of claim 13,14 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of D6 and D7.

The technical feature [a power supplying system] in D6 and in D7 are concerned with same technical fields. Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the feature [the controlling part conducts the operation control of the power supplying part through the communicating part] in D7 to substitute the feature disclosed in D6.

The subject matter of claim 15,16 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of [D5, D8] or [D6 - D8].

D8 discloses the same subject matter of claim15,16.

The technical feature [a power supplying system] in D5 - D8 are concerned with same technical fields. Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the feature [the controlling part conducts the operation control of the power supplying part through the communicating part] in D8 to substitute the feature disclosed in D5 or D6.

The subject matter of claim 17 is neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Claim18-29

The subject matter of claim 18, 19 does not appear to be novel with respect to D9. Such subject matter appears to be known from D9.

The subject matter of claim 20-29 is neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.